

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/775,852	02/09/2004	Toshiaki Kagawa	60794 (70904)	1066	
Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman			EXAMINER		
			GRAINGER, QUANA MASHELL		
Intellectual Pro Edwards & An	operty Practice Group	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 9169			2852		
Boston, MA	02209		DATE MAILED: 05/26/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/775,852	KAGAWA ET AL.			
Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Quana M. Grainger	2852			
The MAILING DATE o Period for Reply	f this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	Idress		
THE MAILING DATE OF TH  - Extensions of time may be available to after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply specified above.  If NO period for reply is specified above.  Failure to reply within the set or extensions.	IS COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Index of this communication. Is less than thirty (30) days, a reply Ite, the maximum statutory period we Ited period for reply will, by statute, Ithan three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHO (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	mely filed ys will be considered timel the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,8,10-13</u> 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>5,6,9,14 and</u>	(s) is/are withdraw allowed. . <u>.15 and 18-22</u> is/are reje	cted.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is obj	ected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not reques	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
<u> </u>		on is required if the drawing(s) is ob aminer. Note the attached Office	•	` '		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c)  1. ☑ Certified copies  2. ☐ Certified copies  3. ☐ Copies of the ce application from	None of: Of the priority documents of the priority documents rtified copies of the priority the International Bureau	have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-		4) Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Di     Information Disclosure Statement(     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/775,852 Page 2

Art Unit: 2852

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 2-9-2004 was considered by the examiner.

## **Drawings**

3. The content of the drawings are approved by the examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/775,852

Art Unit: 2852

6. Claims 1-4, 7-8, 10-13, 15, and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. (cited by applicant 2000-338818a) in view of Hirst et al. (6,445,902).

Nakamura et al. teaches a first heating member having an internal heat supply 91 and a second heating member having a surface heating means 93. Nakamura et al. does not teach an induction heating means.

Hirst et al. teaches an external induction heating means (Figure 5). Hirst et al. teaches that it is conventional to use an external induction heating means and an external roller heated by a halogen type heater for the heating means for a pressure roller or fixing roller. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of Hirst et al. with the image forming device of Nakamura et al. since both are functionally equivalent for heating the pressure member.

#### Prior Art

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Katayanagi et al., Onishi, Tanaka et al., Yokoyama, and Fujimori et al. teach pertinent prior art.

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 5-6, 9, 14, and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2852

## Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quana M. Grainger whose telephone number is 571-272-2135.
The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley can be reached on 571-272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Quana M Grainger Primary Examiner Art Unit 2852 Page 4